



LETTERS

The U.S. Must Take the Lead on Climate Change

Published: November 14, 2008

To the Editor:

Related

Op-Ed Contributor: [The Climate for Change](#) (November 9, 2008)

In "The Climate for Change" (Op-Ed, Nov. 9), Al Gore calls for the United States to pursue carbon cap and trade policies. What he does not call for is unilateral American action to legislate mandated

reductions in carbon dioxide to 1990 levels by 2020 and 80 percent below by 2050. That is a crucial omission.

I came away from conversations with Indian and Chinese government advisers on climate this summer with the sense that any and all international negotiations will bog down unless the United States establishes its bona fides.

We need to engage in what a Chinese adviser called "a game changer."

Mr. Gore's other prescriptions are all sensible, but they will take many years to put into effect. His 10-year timetable doesn't factor in the fact that assuming normal continued economic growth, American peak energy demand is going to double within the next 15 years.

We need action now that publicly binds us to a course of development over time, come what may. The danger is that this objective may get trumped by the political pressure to chase the chimera of an energy independence policy.

Martin Bunzl

New Brunswick, N.J., Nov. 9, 2008

The writer is director of the Initiative on Climate and Social Policy at the Eagleton Institute of Politics, Rutgers University.

To the Editor:

In "The Climate for Change," Al Gore mars his case for investing in clean energy infrastructure with his swipe at clean coal technology.

Far from discouraging investment in carbon capture and storage technology capable of neutralizing greenhouse gas emissions from coal-based power plants, the United States should assert global leadership in accelerating this vital antidote to climate concerns.

Mr. Gore complains that no demonstration plants exist, but he does not mention that carbon capture and storage technology is being tested in three plants abroad.

More Articles in Opinion »

SIGN IN TO E-MAIL OR SAVE THIS

PRINT

SHARE

ARTICLE TOOLS

SPONSORED BY



Inefficient supply chains lose \$40 billion annually.



MOST POPULAR

E-MAILED BLOGGED SEARCHED

1. [David Brooks: The Insider's Crusade](#)
2. [What Happy People Don't Do](#)
3. [The New Old Mexico](#)
4. [Well: News Keeps Getting Worse for Vitamins](#)
5. [Paul Krugman: The Lame-Duck Economy](#)
6. [A New Wind Is Blowing in Chicago](#)
7. [Ouch, My Knee! Is There a Bike Fitter in the House?](#)
8. [Dick Cavett: The Wild Wordsmith of Wasilla](#)
9. [Teenagers' Internet Socializing Not a Bad Thing](#)
10. [Movie Review | 'Twilight': The Love That Dare Not Bare Its Fangs](#)

Go to Complete List »



Solar panels for your home

Also in Real Estate:

[Does your condo have price protection?](#)